# Logic Synthesis \& Verification, Fall 2013 

National Taiwan University

## Problem Set 5

Due on 2013/12/27 17:30
(Please put your solution in the instructor's mailbox at EE2 Building.)

## 1 Factored Form

(a) $(10 \%)$ Show that any factored form expression can be translated into a CMOS gate of $2 n$ transistors. (Note that an inverter can be appended at the output with a total of $2 n+2$ transistors.)
(b) $(10 \%)$ Give an example of a CMOS gate with some constant $2 k$ transistors (assuming each transistor has to be controlled by some input variable) such that its function cannot be expressed in any factored form of less than $k$ literals. (Just need to show the NMOS network if you don't know how to construct its corresponding complementary PMOS network.)

## 2 Weak Division

(10\%) Given an expression $F$ and a divisor $G$, suppose $F=G \cdot H+R$ by weak division. Prove that $H$ and $R$ are unique.

## 3 [Kernelling and Factoring]

(10\%) Consider the expression $F=$
$a b d h+a b d i+a b e h+a b e i+a h+a i+b f+c d h+c d i+c e h+c e i+f g i+f h+f i+g h+g i$.
Compute $\operatorname{KERNEL}(0, F)$ with literals ordered alphabetically. Draw the kernelling tree (as in the slides) and list the kernels and their corresponding co-kernels.

## 4 [SDC and ODC]

( $15 \%$ ) Consider the Boolean network of Figure 1.
(a) Write down a Boolean formula for the SDC of the entire network.
(b) Write down a Boolean formula for the satisfiability don't cares $S D C_{4}$ of Node 4. Since $S D C_{4}$ is imposed by the fanins of Node 4, the formula should depend on variables $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{4}, y_{1}, \ldots, y_{3}$. How can you make $S D C_{4}$ only depend on $y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}$ such that we can minimize Node 4 directly?
(c) Compute the observability don't cares $O D C_{4}$ of Node 4.


Fig. 1. A Boolean network, where $f_{1}=x_{1} \vee \neg x_{2}, f_{2}=\neg x_{2} \wedge x_{3}, f_{3}=\neg x_{3} \wedge x_{4}$, $f_{4}=\left(\neg y_{1} \wedge \neg y_{2} \wedge y_{3}\right) \vee\left(\neg y_{1} \wedge y_{2} \wedge \neg y_{3}\right) \vee\left(y_{1} \wedge \neg y_{2} \wedge \neg y_{3}\right) \vee\left(y_{1} \wedge y_{2} \wedge y_{3}\right), f_{5}=y_{1} \vee y_{4}$, and $f_{6}=y_{3} \wedge y_{4}$.

## 5 [Don't Cares in Local Variables]

$(20 \%)$ Consider the Boolean network of Figure 1. Suppose the XDC for $z_{1}$ is $\neg x_{1} \neg x_{2} \neg x_{3} x_{4}$ and that for $z_{2}$ is $x_{1} x_{2} \neg x_{3} x_{4}$.
(a) Compute the don't cares $D_{4}$ of Node 4 in terms of its local input variables $y_{1}$, $y_{2}$, and $y_{3}$. (Note that in general the computation of ODC may be affected by XDC especially when there exist different XDCs for different primary outputs.)
(b) Based on the computed don't cares, what is the best implementable function for Node 4 (in terms of the literal count and cube count in SOP)?

## 6 [Complete Flexibility]

$(25 \%)$ Consider the Boolean network of Figure 1. Let $Y=\left\{y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}\right\}$.
(a) Suppose the XDC for $z_{1}$ is $\neg x_{1} \neg x_{2} \neg x_{3} x_{4}$ and that for $z_{2}$ is $x_{1} x_{2} \neg x_{3} x_{4}$. Write down the specification relation $S(X, Z)$.
(b) What is the influence relation $I\left(X, y_{4}, Z\right)$ of Node 4?
(c) What is the environment relation $E(X, Y)$ of Node 4?
(d) What is the complete flexibility $C F_{4}\left(Y, y_{4}\right)$ of Node 4 ?
(e) Is the previously computed don't care set $D_{4}$ of Node 4 subsumed by $C F_{4}$ ?

