

# Proofs and Types

## Introduction

Bow-Yaw Wang

Academia Sinica

Spring 2012

# What is Mathematics?

- Consider the following equality

$$27 \times 37 = 999.$$

- Clearly, “ $27 \times 37$ ” is not “999.”
  - Both sides have different *senses*. They are not equal.
- On the other hand, the number obtained by **computing** “ $27 \times 37$ ” is indeed “999.”
  - Both sides have the same *denotation*. They are equal.
- Given a sentence  $A$ , there are two ways of viewing it (by Frege):
  - as a sequence of **instructions**, which determine its sense.
    - ★  $A \vee B$  means “ $A$  or  $B$ .”
  - as the **ideal result** found by the instructions. This is denotation.
    - ★ False (f) or True (t).

# Sense and Denotation

- The dichotomy of sense and denotation gives the following association:
  - ▶ sense, syntax, proofs;
  - ▶ denotation, truth, semantics, algebraic operations.
- Denotation has been fruitful in mathematical logic.
  - ▶ for example, model theory.
- Sense unfortunately has not reached its rival (until, I think, the influence from computer science).
  - ▶ for example, interactive theorem proving.

# Tarski Semantics

- In Tarski semantics, we are only interested in the denotation.
- For atomic sentences, we assume the denotation is known.
  - ▶  $27 \times 37 = 999$  is **t**;
  - ▶  $3 \times 13 = 37$  is **f**.
- The denotation of composed sentences are obtained by the truth table:

$A$	$B$	$A \wedge B$	$A \vee B$	$A \Rightarrow B$	$\neg A$
<b>f</b>	<b>f</b>	<b>f</b>	<b>f</b>	<b>t</b>	<b>t</b>
<b>f</b>	<b>t</b>	<b>f</b>	<b>t</b>	<b>t</b>	<b>t</b>
<b>t</b>	<b>f</b>	<b>f</b>	<b>t</b>	<b>f</b>	<b>f</b>
<b>t</b>	<b>t</b>	<b>t</b>	<b>t</b>	<b>t</b>	<b>f</b>

- The denotation of  $\forall \xi.A$  is **t** if for every  $a$  in the domain of interpretation,  $A[a/\xi]$  is **t**. Similarly,  $\exists \xi.A$  is **t** if  $A[a/\xi]$  is **t** for some  $a$ .

# Heyting Semantics

- In Heyting semantics, we are interested in witnesses to truth.
- Instead of asking “when is  $A$  true?”, we ask “what is the **proof** of  $A$ ?”
- For atomic sentences, the proofs are intrinsic. For example, the proof of  $27 \times 37 = 999$  is by calculation.
- A proof of  $A \wedge B$  is a pair  $(p, q)$  where  $p$  and  $q$  are proofs of  $A$  and  $B$  respectively.
- A proof of  $A \vee B$  is a pair  $(i, p)$  with
  - ▶  $i = 0$ , and  $p$  is a proof of  $A$ ;
  - ▶  $i = 1$ , and  $p$  is a proof of  $B$ .
- A proof of  $A \Rightarrow B$  is a function  $f$  that maps each proof  $p$  of  $A$  to the proof  $f(p)$  of  $B$ .
- $\neg A$  is treated as  $A \Rightarrow \perp$  where  $\perp$  is a sentence without proof.
- A proof of  $\forall \xi. A$  is a function  $f$  that maps each point  $a$  in the domain of definition to a proof  $f(a)$  of  $A[a/\xi]$ .
- A proof of  $\exists \xi. A$  is a pair  $(a, p)$  where  $a$  is in the domain of definition and  $p$  is a proof of  $A[a/\xi]$ .

# Intuitionistic Logic

- Consider the sentence  $A \vee \neg A$ .
- In classical logic,  $A \vee \neg A$  is **t**.
  - ▶ It follows from denotation (or Tarski's semantics).
- But this is not clear from a witness's point of view.
  - ▶ Do you mean you always have either a proof of  $A$  or a proof of  $\neg A$ ?
  - ▶ If so, give me a proof of  $P = NP$  or  $P \neq NP$ .
- Brouwer's intuitionistic logic does not accept  $A \vee \neg A$  as an axiom.
  - ▶ It coincides with Heyting's semantics.
- Intuitionistic logic is influential in constructive mathematics.

# Interactive Theorem Proving

- The interactive theorem prover COQ is based on intuitionistic logic.
- The theory of COQ is initially developed by Thierry Coquand and Gérard Heut.
- The tool COQ has been developed for over 20 years.
- In 2004, the proof of four color theorem is formalized in COQ.
- COQ is used in CompCert.
  - ▶ The project CompCert builds formally verified optimizing compiler for a subset of C programming language.